THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

DATED: 14-09-2005

–

WRIT PETITION No.20199 of 2005

–

–

Between:

S.V.Krishna Reddy . . .Petitioner

And

The Govt. of A.P. and others . . .Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

–

WRIT PETITION No.20199 of 2005

–

O R D E R:

The petitioner challenges the order passed by the Government in G.O.Ms.No.1422, Revenue (Endowments) Department, dated 25-07-2005, and the consequential order passed by the Religious Endowment.

The Government cancelled all the orders of appointment, promotion or extension of scales of pay, as the case may be, passed during the tenure of a particular officer as Commissioner of Endowments, by invoking powers under Section 19 of the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act. This Court had an occasion to deal with a batch of matters in WP.No.19107 of 2005 and batch, through order dated 09-09-2005.

The course of action adopted in such matters was pointed out. The learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader for Endowments and the learned Standing Counsel for the second and third respondents-temples, do not dispute that this writ petition is also identical to the said batch of writ petitions.

In view of the same, the writ petition is disposed of, directing that,

a. the 1st respondent, the Government of Andhra Pradesh, shall issue a show cause notice to the petitioner, pointing out the defects in the order of appointment issued in favour of the petitioner. On a consideration of the same, the Government shall pass appropriate orders, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

b. Pending such proceedings, the petitioner shall be continued in service, subject to the same terms and conditions, as were applicable to him, before he came to be appointed on regular basis.

c. In case, the enquiry is not concluded within the period stipulated above, or no extension, sought for, is granted, the petitioner shall be entitled to the benefit of the order of regular appointment, without prejudice to the right of the Government, to take further steps.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

14th SEPTEMBER, 2005.

kvni